

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,400	11/04/2003	Peter A. Quigley	FPY-048.04	5827
25181	7590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	· ·		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. Ai.				
	10/700,400	QUIGLEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON s, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	· ·	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been	oplication No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(c)	•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2度点	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·					

Application/Control Number: 10/700,400

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. Claims 1-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,016,845 in view of Charboneau, U.S. Patent No. 5,551,484. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reasons set forth in the previous action.
- 2. Claims 1-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,148,866. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reasons set forth in the previous action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that US '845 does not teach a pressure barrier and a composite layer and a sensor. However, claim 22 clearly recites a pressure barrier. '845 clearly teaches a composite layer in claim 1. Charboneau clearly teaches sensors such as the optical fibers which monitor stress and/or provide for communication and further teaches a capacitance leak detection circuit in the liner and Charboneau teaches connecting the optical fibers to the stress detector to monitor the liner when it is installed in the pipeline. Therefore the rejection is maintained.
- 4. With regard to US '866, Applicant argues that '866 does not disclose a pressure barrier layer, a composite layer or a sensor. However, as set forth above, in '866 teaches a pressure barrier layer. Further as set forth above, the claims clearly recite a composite layer and Charboneau clearly teaches sensor. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,400

Art Unit: 1771

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,400

Art Unit: 1771

aisabet mos

Page 4

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c